

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/851,873	05/08/2001	Rolf F. Kletzien	28341/00233.NCP	4967
	7590 08/26/2003			
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP			EXAMINER	
6300 SEARS TOWER 233 S. WACKER DRIVE			HUTSON, RICHARD G	
CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1652	1(/
	•		DATE MAILED: 08/26/2003	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/851,873	KLETZIEN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Richard G Hutson	1652

--The MAILING DATE f this communication appears on the c ver sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 08 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a C(

conditi	ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued nation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
а) Г	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
fee have fee unde (2) as se	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension is been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension is 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if led, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.⊠	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to: 4.
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,5,7 and 8.
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.🖂	Other: Interview Summary, Paper No. 12
	Richard G Hutson, Ph.D. Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 1652

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants proposed amendment removing the length of the region which must have a certain percent identity to SEQ ID NO: 77 (claim 1) and the addition of new percent identity limitations (claims 2 and 3) introduduce new issues afterfinal that would require a new search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the rejections of record remain based on the nonentry of applicants proposed amendment. Applicants proposed amendment if entered would overcome the 112 2nd rejection of claim 7 as well as 112 1st paragraph rejection based on a lack of written description. While applicants proposed amendment has reduced the scope of the genus of caspase polypeptides claimed and thus would result in helping applicants overcome a rejection based on a lack o enablement, it remains that further consideration must be given to this issue in order to make any decision on whether such a rejection would be withdrawn.